

# 2026 VOTER GUIDE



*Venice Community Housing has made the following endorsements for the November 2026 election which most affect our communities and scope of work. This guide is not comprehensive, and we encourage you to look further into these and other ballot initiatives.*



## CA STATE

### Yes on Prop 1

Prop 1 will help create and preserve much needed affordable housing. Prop 1 authorizes \$11.25 billion in bond funding to build and preserve affordable homes and expand homeownership opportunities across CA without raising taxes. It will fund more than 40,000 affordable homes.

### Yes on Prop 40

Prop 40 is one-time, emergency 5% tax only assessed on California's roughly 200 billionaires in order to make up for the federal cuts to healthcare, food assistance programs, education and other basic needs of Californians.

*\*If Propositions 41 or 42 earn more votes than Proposition 40, this measure would be nullified*

### No on Prop 43

Prop 43 would increase CA votes needed to pass new taxes to a two thirds (67%) majority, a change from the current simple majority (50%) requirement. This would make it harder for communities to come together to provide critical funding to support emergency services, fix local roads, staff schools, or backfill federal healthcare cuts. Prop 43 would allow a minority of voters to block important local initiatives, including affordable housing.

### Yes on Prop 3

Prop 3 maintains the income tax on the wealthiest 2% of Californians. This tax has already existed for the past 14 years. Yes on Prop 3 extends this policy, with strict accountability, to ensure funding goes to local schools and community colleges. Without Prop 3, CA's 8 million public schools and community colleges could face billions in cuts, including teacher layoffs, overcrowded classrooms, and bigger class sizes.

### No on Prop 41

Prop 41 would create new constitutional requirements for statewide special taxes that could delay ballot measures and make it harder to fund voter-approved priorities such as healthcare, education, and other public services. Voting no ensures that we don't create new barriers that make it harder to invest in our communities.

### No on Prop 44

Prop 44 enforces new requirements on private nonprofit clinics, such as Venice Family Clinic, who primarily serve low-income and uninsured people. These new requirements could cut billions of dollars from community health centers and clinics, resulting in closures, reduction of necessary health care centers and vital patient services. Prop 44 would leave millions of patients with reduced access to life-saving healthcare.

### No on Prop 39

Prop 39 would require voters to show additional government-issued identification at the polls and add a personal ID number on vote-by-mail ballots, rather than relying on self-attestations and voter signatures. Prop 39 would create unnecessary barriers for eligible voters and will likely disproportionately affect those with disabilities, voters of color, voters who are low-income, or have recently moved. Prop 39 would cost state and local governments tens to hundreds of millions to implement and could greatly restrict voting access.

### No on Prop 42

Prop 42 would permanently ban new state taxes on financial assets and other personal property. If passed, Prop 42 would exacerbate wealth inequality and would reduce funding for healthcare, public education, infrastructure, and emergency services.

## LA COUNTY

### Yes on Measure E

Measure E provides structure to the LA County Ethics Commission, Office of Ethics Compliance, and Ethics Compliance Officer. The passage of Measure E will strengthen ethics and accountability in Los Angeles County and continues the County's commitment to community investments and alternatives to incarceration.